

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,752	09/715,752 11/17/2000		Sanjay S. Gadkari	INTL-0478-US (P10026)	6968	
21906	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRU		•	TRUONG, LAN DAI T			
1616 S. VO: HOUSTON,		), SUITE 750 057-2631	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2152		
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/715,752	GADKARI, SANJAY S.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152			

	Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further could be They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	21 See attached Notice of Non C	ompliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(P10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3; 6-13; 16-21; 23-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>Please see continuation sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.	B		
	BUNJ	OB JAROBNICHON	WANIT

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER In response to applicant's arguments about the differences between the Doney and certain features of applicant's invention such as the Doney teaches self-analyzis while the claim requires analyzis of a why a different system, separate from the analyzis system, fail to complete a task on time are not persuasive. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

12/04/2006